

Tutorial #1: Using Latent GOLD choice to Estimate Discrete Choice Models

In this tutorial, we analyze data from a simple choice-based conjoint (CBC) experiment designed to estimate market shares (choice shares) for shoes. In this tutorial you will:

- Set up an analysis
- Estimate choice models that specify different numbers of classes (segments) Explore which of these models provides the best fit to the data
- Utilize restrictions to refine the best fitting model
- Interpret results using our 'final' model
- Save results

In tutorial 2, the final model will be used to

- Predict future choices
- Simulate choices among additional products of interest

Introduction and Goal of this tutorial

Latent GOLD Choice accepts data from an optional 1-file or its default 3-file structure from an SPSS .sav file, a Sawtooth .cho file, or ASCII rectangular file format. The current sample data utilizes 3 SPSS .sav files.

The 10 pairs of shoes included in this choice experiment differ on 3 attributes: Fashion (0=Traditional, 1= Modern), Quality (0=Standard, 1=Higher), and Price (5 equidistant levels coded from 1 to 5). Each of 8 choice sets offer 3 of these 10 possible alternative products to 400 individuals. The choice task posed to respondents is to assume that each set represents the actual options available for purchase and to select one of these alternatives from each set, with the response "none of the above" allowed as a fourth choice option.

The 11 alternatives (10 different products plus a 'None' option) are defined in terms of the 3 attributes plus the dummy variable NONE in the Alternatives File cbcALT11.sav:

	prodcode	fashion	quality	price	none	
1	TS1	traditional	standard	\$25	0	
2	TS2	traditional	standard	\$50	0	
3	TS3	traditional	standard	\$75	0	
4	TH2	traditional	higher	\$50	0	
5	TH3	traditional	higher	\$75	0	
6	TH4	traditional	higher	\$100	0	
7	MS2	modern	standard	\$50	0	
8	MS3	modern	standard	\$75	0	
9	MH3	modern	higher	\$75	0	
10	MH5	modern	higher	\$125	0	
11	None	1

Figure 1. Alternatives File ‘cbcALT11.sav’ defining each of the 11 Alternatives.

The specific 4-alternatives that constitute the 8 choice tasks are defined in the Sets File cbcSET.sav. For example, task 1 involves the choice between shoes TS3, MS3, TH2 or None of these.

	setid	pid1	pid2	pid3	pid4	
1	1	TS3	MS3	TH2	None	
2	2	MH3	TH3	MS3	None	
3	3	TH2	MH5	MS2	None	
4	4	TS2	TH4	MH5	None	
5	5	TS1	MS3	MS2	None	
6	6	TS2	TH3	MS2	None	
7	7	MH5	TS2	TH2	None	
8	8	TH2	MS3	TS1	None	

Figure 2. Sets File ‘cbcSET.sav’ defining each of the 8 choice tasks.

The universe is assumed to consist of 3 segments with different utility preferences. The first segment constitutes 50% of the Universe, the second and third each representing 25%.

Table 1.

Segment	1	2	3
Segment Size	0.5	0.25	0.25
Part-worth Utility Parameters			
Segment:	1	2	3
Modern fashion	3	0	1
Higher quality	0	3	1
PRICE	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
NONE	1	0	-0.5

These utilities imply that all 3 segments are equally price sensitive. In addition, segment 1 (50% of the universe) prefers the more Modern style shoes and is indifferent regarding Higher quality, segment 2 (25%) prefers the Higher quality and is indifferent with regards to fashion. Segment 3 (25%) is influenced by both Modern fashion and Higher quality but to a lesser extent than the other segments. Overall, segment 1 is most likely and segment 3 least likely to choose None. The segments differ on AGE and GENDER as follows:

Table 2. Gender and Age distributions for each segment:

Segment	1	2	3
GENDER			
Male	0.25	0.4	0.83
Female	0.75	0.6	0.17
AGE			
<25	0.69	0.28	0.19
25-39	0.14	0.15	0.43
40+	0.2	0.57	0.38

The choices obtained from 400 individuals, generated from this hypothetical Universe, are contained in the Response File cbcRESP.sav.

Visible: 5 of 5 Variables

	id	sex	age	set	choice
1	1	Male	25-39	1	2
2	1	Male	25-39	2	1
3	1	Male	25-39	3	3
4	1	Male	25-39	4	2
5	1	Male	25-39	5	1
6	1	Male	25-39	6	3
7	1	Male	25-39	7	2
8	1	Male	25-39	8	2
9	2	Female	16-24	1	3
10	2	Female	16-24	2	1
11	2	Female	16-24	3	1
12	2	Female	16-24	4	1
13	2	Female	16-24	5	4
14	2	Female	16-24	6	2
15	2	Female	16-24	7	3
16	2	Female	16-24	8	4

Data View Variable View

IBM SPSS Statistics Processor is ready

Figure 3. First 2 cases in the Response File ‘cbcrESP.sav’.

The Goal

We wish to assess the ability of the Latent GOLD Choice program to unmix the data correctly to reflect the simulated structural relationships. (Since the design for this choice experiment has relatively low efficiency, it is unclear whether it is possible to uncover the 3 underlying segments.) The BIC statistic will be used to determine which model fits best.

Setting up the Analysis

For this example, you can either open the setup file ‘1class.lgf’ saved previously or go through the setup steps one at a time.

To open the setup file, from the menus

- Click on File→Open.
 - Navigate to the LG Choice program directory (generally: C:\Program Files\LatentGOLDChoice5.0)
- Navigate to the CBC folder.
- From the Files of type drop down list, select 'LatentGOLD files (.lgf)' if this is not already the default listing.

All files with the .lgf extensions appear in the list (see Figure below)

Note: If you copied the sample data file to a directory other than the default directory, change to that directory prior to retrieving the file.




Figure 4. Opening the data file.

- Select '1class.lgf' and click Open.
- Double-click on Model1 to bring up the 1-class model
- In the Classes box, type '1-4' in place of 1 to request estimation of 4 models between 1 and 4 classes
- Skip ahead to Estimating Models (p. 12)

Alternatively, to go through the setup steps one at a time, from the menus choose:

- Click on File→Open.
- From the Files of type drop down list, select SPSS system files (.sav) if this is not already the default listing.

All files with the .sav extensions appear in the list.

- Select 'cbcRESP.sav' and click Open

- Right click on 'Model1' and select Choice (or Edit)




Figure 5. Model Analysis Dialog Box.

- Select each variable and move it to the appropriate box by clicking the buttons to the left of these boxes.
 ID to Case ID
 SEX, AGE to Covariates
 SET to Choice Set
 CHOICE to Dependent
- In the Classes box, type '1-4' in place of 1 to request estimation of 4 models between 1 and 4 classes
- Right click on SEX and AGE and select 'Nominal' to change the scale type for these covariates.

Your Choice model setup should now look like this:




Figure 6. Model Analysis Dialog Box after setup.

To complete the setup, we need to connect the Alternatives and Sets files to the Response File, and specify those attributes for which utilities are to be estimated.

- Open the Attributes tab by clicking on 'Attributes' at the top of the setup screen:




Figure 7. Attributes Tab

- Click the Alternatives button to display a list of files
- Select 'cbcALT11.sav' and click Open

In response to the prompt to select an ID variable,

- Select 'PRODCODE' and click OK




Figure 8. Select ID Variable prompt.

The attribute variables from this file are now included in the variable list along with the choice specific constants variable `_Constants_`, which is generated automatically by the program.

- Click the Choice Sets button to display a list of files
- Select 'cbcSET.sav' and click Open

In response to the prompt to select an ID variable,

- Select 'setid' and click OK

Selecting the Variables for the Analysis

For this analysis, we will estimate main effects for all 4 attributes (FASHION, QUALITY, PRICE, and NONE) and a quadratic price effect by including the variable PRICESQ. To select these variables:

- Select all variables except for '`_Constants_`' and click Attributes to move them to the Attributes list box .

The designated variables now appear in the Attributes list box.




Figure 9. Selecting the Attributes.

By default, character variables and variables containing consecutive integers (such as FASHION, QUALITY and PRICE) are treated as Nominal.

To view the coding for any variable:

- double-click on that variable
- Double click on PRICE to view the consecutive integer scores assigned to the price levels.
- Click Cancel to close this Score window




Figure 10.PRICE Score window.

To change the scale type of PRICE to numeric:

- Right click on PRICE, and select 'Numeric'

To change from effects coding (the default for Nominal variables) to '0,1' dummy coding for FASHION and QUALITY we can change the scale type to Numeric and change the scores to 0,1.

- Select FASHION and QUALITY
- Right click and select Numeric
- Double click on FASHION to retrieve the score box
- Double click on the second category
- Replace '2' with '0' in the Replace box
- Click Replace
- Click OK




Figure 11. FASHION Score window.

Repeat the process for QUALITY

- Double click on QUALITY to retrieve the score box
- Double click on the second category
- Replace '2' with '0' in the Replace box
- Click Replace
- Click OK

Alternatively, dummy coding could be accomplished by maintaining the Nominal scale types for these 2 attributes, and selecting 'Dummy Last' in the 'Coding Nominal' section of the Output tab. Both approaches change to dummy coding, the only difference being in the display of the effect estimates in the Parameters Output. Only a single effect estimate is shown for each attribute using the former method, while the latter associates this effect estimate with the 1st category and displays a '0' effect for the 2nd category.

Estimating Models

Now that we have specified the models, we are ready to estimate these models.

- Click Estimate (located at the bottom right of the analysis dialog box).

The setup window now closes and estimation begins. When Latent GOLD Choice completes the estimation, the model L^2 , which assesses how well the model fits the data, and a list of various output files appears in the Outline pane.




Figure 12. The two Panes.

The Outline pane contains the name of the data file and a list of any previously estimated models (and their output) previously estimated. The Contents pane (currently empty) is where you will view the various types of output.

Viewing Output and Interpreting Results

- Click the data file name 'cbcRESP.sav' and a summary of all the models estimated on this file appears in the Contents pane.

The screenshot shows the LatentGOLD application window with the 'Contents Pane' displaying a table of model summary output. The table has columns for Model, Class Choice, LL, BIC(LL), Npar, L², df, p-value, Class.Err., R²(0), and R². The 'Model4' row is highlighted, and its values are circled in red in the original image.

Model	Class Choice	LL	BIC(LL)	Npar	L ²	df	p-value	Class.Err.	R ² (0)	R ²
Model1	1-Class Choice	-4145.1973	8320.3519	5	4905.0533	395	1.3e-766	0.0000	0.0540	0.0454
Model2	2-Class Choice	-3704.6949	7493.2703	14	4024.0485	386	9.5e-597	0.0303	0.2064	0.1988
Model3	3-Class Choice	-3648.6719	7435.1474	23	3912.0025	377	2.5e-579	0.0707	0.2231	0.2157
Model4	4-Class Choice	-3640.7484	7473.2237	32	3896.1556	368	8.3e-581	0.0810	0.2281	0.2207

Figure 13. Model Summary Output.

Note: For the 4-class model, you may obtain a local solution (LL = -3644.3868) rather than the global solution reported above (LL = -3640.7484). If this occurs, re-estimate the 4-class model.

For repeated measure applications such as this, data sparseness exists which implies that the L^2 statistic does not follow a chi-square distribution. In such cases the reported p-value is not correct and the number of degrees of freedom is not informative. Hence, we will exclude the L^2 , df and associated p-value columns from our Model Summary output.

In addition, notice that 2 different R^2 measures are displayed. For this model, we use the standard R^2 . (For other applications as in tutorial 3, it will be more appropriate to utilize $R^2(0)$ rather than R^2 to assess the goodness of model prediction. The baseline for $R^2(0)$ is a null model containing no predictors at all, not even the variable 'Constants'. This latter null model predicts each alternative to be equally likely to be selected, while the former null model predicts each alternative to be selected with probability equal to the overall observed marginal distribution.)

To remove the undesired columns from the Model Summary:

- Right click in the Contents Pane to retrieve the Model Summary Display
- Click in the boxes to the left of the L^2 , df and p-value to remove the checkmarks from these items
- Close the Model Summary Display (by pressing Escape or clicking the X in the upper right of the
- Display)




Figure 14. Model Summary Display.

The Model Summary in the Contents Pane now looks like this:




Figure 15. Updated Model Summary Display.

Notice that BIC criteria correctly identifies the 3-class model as best (lowest BIC). Notice also that the R² increases from .0454 for the standard aggregate (1-class) model to .2157 for the 3-class model. This R² statistic assesses the percentage variance explained in the dependent variable. In this example, the (nominal) dependent variable consists of 4 alternatives in each set (alt1, alt2, alt3 and alt4), variance is defined in terms of qualitative variance (Magidson, 1981), and the baseline or null model is the model that includes the alternative-specific constants ‘_Constants_’ as the only predictor.

- In the Outline Pane, click once on ‘Model 3’ to select it and click again to enter Edit mode and rename it "3-class" for easier identification.
- Click once on each of the other models and rename each of them as well.

As a formal assessment of whether the R² obtained from the 4-class model provides a significant increase over the 3-class model, we can estimate a p-value for this improvement using the Conditional Bootstrap. By default, the Bootstrap procedure utilizes 500 samples and thus may take a few minutes to

complete on your computer. Feel free to skip this, or if it is taking too long you may Cancel the estimation procedure by clicking the Red Stop button to Pause the model and selecting 'Abandon'.

- Select the model '4-class' in the Outline Pane
- Select 'Bootstrap -2LL Diff' from the Model menu




Figure 16. Selecting Bootstrap -2LL Diff.

A list of models appears that contain eligible nested base models.




Figure 17. List of Eligible Models.

- Select '3-class' from this list

- Select OK

When the procedure has completed, 2 additional model names appear in the Outline Pane – ‘3-classBoot’ and ‘4classBoot’.




Figure 18. 4-classBoot Model Summary.

Since the estimated p-value is larger than .05 ($p=.1820$ with an estimated standard error of .17 reported in the above Figure), the improvement by going to a 4th class is not statistically significant. The estimated p-value that you obtain should be close to this estimate.

Examining the Model Output Files

- Click on the expand icon (+) next to the 1-class model and several output files appear.
- Click on Parameters to view the part-worth utility estimates in the Contents Pane




Figure 19. Parameters Output for 1-class Model.

Notice that the effect of PRICESQ is highly significant ($p = 4.7 \times 10^{-7}$) in this model. Since the effect of PRICESQ is 0 in the true model, this example shows that the effects (and predictions) obtained from the aggregate model cannot be trusted. When choices are based on different utilities (in different population segments), estimates obtained under the aggregate model will typically be biased.

- Click on '1-class' and scroll down to the Prediction Statistics section




Figure 20. Prediction Statistics for 1-class Model.

The prediction table shows that this 1-class (aggregate) model correctly predicts only 161 of the 646 alt1 responses, only 292 of the 712 alt2 responses, 603 of the 999 alt3 responses and only 149 of the 843 alt4 responses. Overall, only 1,205 (161 + 292 + 603 + 149) of the total 3,200 observed choices are predicted correctly – a ‘hit rate’ of only 37.66%. This represents a Prediction Error of $1 - .3766 = .6234$ (as reported in the row of the output labeled ‘Prediction Error’).

For comparison, we will look at the corresponding statistics under the 3-class model.

➤ Click on ‘3-class’ and scroll down to the Prediction Statistics section




Figure 21. Prediction Statistics for 3-class Model.

By accounting for the heterogeneity among the 3 segments, the prediction error has been reduced to .4575 by the 3-class model.

- Click on the expand icon (+) next to the 3-class model and select Parameters. The part-worth utility estimates appear for each class.




Figure 22. Parameters Output for 3-class Model.

Using Restrictions to Refine the 3-Class Model

Under the 3-class model, the estimates for PRICESQ are no longer significant, which reflects the true structure of this model. The associated p-value is greater than 0.05 ($p = .82$).

- Double click on '3-class' to re-open the model setup window.
- Click Attributes to open the attributes tab
- Select PRICESQ and click the Attributes button to remove this effect from the model.
- Click Estimate to re-estimate the model.
- After the estimation has completed click Parameters to view the new parameters estimates.




Figure 23. New Parameters Output.

Notice that the within-class estimates for PRICE are close to each other. The formal test of equality is given by the Wald(=) statistic, for which the p-value (0.17) is not significant. Thus, we will restrict the effect of PRICE to be equal across all 3 segments.

To view whether any of the parameter estimates are non-significant:

- Right click on the Contents Pane and select Z Statistic from the Popup menu.

The Z-statistics show that for class 1 the effect of higher quality, and for class 2 the effect of modern fashion are not significant.

To implement these parameter restrictions:

- Double click on the last model ('Model7' here) to re-open the model setup screen.
- Click on 'Model' to Open the Model tab.
- Right click under column 1 in the QUALITY row and select 'No Effect' from the pop-up menu to restrict the class 1 effect for QUALITY to zero.
- Right click under column 2 in the FASHION row and select 'No Effect' from the pop-up menu to restrict the class 1 effect for FASHION to zero.
- Right click under Class Independent column in the PRICE row and select 'Yes' to restrict the effect of PRICE to be class independent.




Figure 24. Implementing Parameter Restrictions.

- Click Estimate to re-estimate the model.
- Re-name this model '3-class final'

Notice that the BIC for this final model is now the best.

		LL	BIC(LL)	Npar	Class.Err.	R ²
1-class	1-Class Choice	-4145.1973	8320.3519	5	0.0000	0.0454
2-class	2-Class Choice	-3704.6949	7493.2703	14	0.0303	0.1988
3-class	3-Class Choice	-3648.6719	7435.1474	23	0.0707	0.2157
4-class	4-Class Choice	-3640.7484	7473.2237	32	0.0810	0.2207
3-classBoot	3-Class Choice	-3648.6719	7435.1474	23	0.0707	0.2157
4-classBoot	4-Class Choice	-3640.7484	7473.2237	32	0.0810	0.2207
Model7	3-Class Choice	-3649.1386	7418.1065	20	0.0720	0.2156
3-class final	3-Class Choice	-3651.0166	7397.8967	16	0.0698	0.2146

Figure 25. Updated Model Summary Output.

To remove the Bootstrap models,

- Select '3-classBoot'
- Select Delete from the Model menu
- Repeat this for '4-classBoot'

Profile Output: viewing Re-scaled Parameters

- Click on the expand icon (+) next to 3-class final model to display the output files
- Click on Profile to display the Profile Output in the Contents Pane

	Class1	Class2	Class3
Class Size	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
Attributes			
fashion			
modern	0.9571	0.5000	0.7415
traditional	0.0429	0.5000	0.2585
Mean	0.9571	0.5000	0.7415
quality			
higher	0.5000	0.9423	0.7186
standard	0.5000	0.0577	0.2814

Figure 26. Final 3-class Model Profile Output.

The Profile output consists of the segment size estimates together with re-scaled parameter estimates that correspond to column percentages. Notice that the segment size estimates of .50, .26 and .23 are very close to the true universe parameters.

These re-scaled parameters for FASHION and QUALITY have a nice probabilistic interpretation. The probability of choosing the Modern over the Traditional style when faced with just these 2 alternatives where the QUALITY and PRICE were identical is estimated to be .9571 for persons in segment 1, .5 for persons in segment 2, and .7415 for persons in segment 3. A similar interpretation is available for QUALITY. When faced with a choice between 1) a pair of Higher quality and 2) a pair of Standard quality shoes – both having the same price and same style (Modern or Traditional), the probability of choosing the Higher quality shoes is .5 for segment 1, .9423 for segment 2 and .7186 for segment 3. While the re-scaled parameters for

PRICE cannot be interpreted in same way, they still convey information about which segment(s) are more price sensitive.

A second benefit of the re-scaled parameters is that when presented as row percentages (as opposed to column percentages) they become the ProbMeans output, which yields an informative display in the dimension of the segments.

- Click on ProbMeans to display the corresponding row percentages.

	Class1	Class2	Class3
Overall	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
Attributes			
fashion			
modern	0.6128	0.1683	0.2189
traditional	0.1011	0.6185	0.2805
quality			
higher	0.3769	0.3733	0.2498
standard	0.7575	0.0459	0.1966
price			
\$25	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
\$50	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
\$75	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
\$100	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
\$125	0.5033	0.2646	0.2321
none			
0	0.3061	0.3397	0.3542
1	0.6203	0.2200	0.1597
Covariates			
sex			
Male	0.2992	0.2276	0.4731
Female	0.6558	0.2921	0.0520
age			
16-24	0.7610	0.1516	0.0873
25-39	0.2874	0.2180	0.4946
40+	0.2780	0.4556	0.2664

Figure 27. Final 3-class Model ProbMeans Output.

The row percentages highlighted above state that persons choosing Traditional vs. Modern style shoes (where price and quality are the same), are most likely (posterior probability = .6185) to be in segment 2.

These row percentages can be used to position each category in an informative 2-dimensional barycentric coordinate display. Choices can also be appended to this plot.

- Click on the expand icon (+) next to ProbMeans
- Click on Tri-Plot to display the Plot
- Right click in the Contents Pane to display the Plot Control Panel
- Click on PRICE to remove PRICE from the plot
- Click on the drop down set list and select set #4
- Click on the Choice Set symbol to highlight the appended choices on the plot

Each vertex of the tri-plot corresponds to a segment. From the plot it can be seen that Class 2 (corresponding to the lower right vertex) is the segment most likely to prefer Higher Quality and Traditional style shoes. This segment is also tends to be the oldest (higher proportion of persons 40+) of the segments.




Figure 28. Tri-Plot.

Set #4 consists of 1:TS2 2:TH4 3:MH5 4:None. It is clear from the plot that persons who choose alternative #2:TH4 from this set are highly likely to be in segment 2.

Additional Output Options

Latent GOLD Choice offers many additional output options.

- Double click on '3-class final' to re-open the model setup screen
- Click on Output to Open the Output Tab

To obtain output to see how to classify each person into the most likely segment:

- Click on 'Classification – Posterior'
- Click on 'Classification – Model'

To append this information and predicted choices to the response file:

- Click on ClassPred to Open the ClassPred Tab
- Click on 'Classification – Posterior'
- Click on 'Classification – Model'
- Click on Predicted Values

To append individual HB-like coefficients to the response file:

- Click on Individual Coefficients
- Replace the default output filename if desired





Figure 29. ClassPred Tab.

Note that we also requested a CHAID input file to be created. We will illustrate this option later in tutorial 1A.

➤ Click Estimate

To open the standard classification output,

➤ Click Classification in the Outline Pane



id	sex	age	ObsFreq	Modal	Class1	Class2	Class3
1	Male	25-39	1.0000	3	0.0138	0.0000	0.9861
2	Female	16-24	1.0000	2	0.0000	0.9940	0.0060
3	Male	40+	1.0000	2	0.0000	0.9027	0.0973
4	Female	40+	1.0000	2	0.0000	0.9984	0.0016
5	Female	40+	2.0000	2	0.0000	0.9993	0.0007
6	Male	16-24	1.0000	1	0.6698	0.0001	0.3301
7	Female	25-39	1.0000	2	0.0000	0.9726	0.0274


Figure 30. Standard Classification Output.

This output shows that case 1 is very likely (posterior probability = .9861) a member of segment 3.

The covariate classification information is useful for classifying new cases, which did not participate in the conjoint experiment.

- Click Classification-Model

This table shows that based solely on this person's demographics, he would still be classified into segment 3 although with somewhat less certainty (probability = .8348). We will explore the relationship between the covariates and the classes more extensively in Tutorial 1A using the SI-CHAID 4.0 option.



sex	age	Modal	Class1	Class2	Class3
Male	16-24	1	0.5911	0.1868	0.2221
Male	25-39	3	0.0690	0.0962	0.8348
Male	40+	3	0.1324	0.3601	0.5075
Female	16-24	1	0.8590	0.1315	0.0096
Female	25-39	1	0.4917	0.3322	0.1761
Female	40+	2	0.4114	0.5419	0.0467

Figure 31. Covariate Classification Output.

Latent GOLD Choice was found to be successful in uncovering the 3-segment structure in this example. In tutorial #2 we will use our final model to generate choice predictions.

To save the analysis file for future work

- Click on the data file name cbcRESP.sav at the top of the outline pane

From the File Menu, select Save Definitions

- Click Save